Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Experimental study of the <sup>13</sup>C+<sup>12</sup>C fusion reaction at deep sub-barrier energies

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 703 012028 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/703/1/012028) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 188.27.75.225 This content was downloaded on 20/11/2016 at 19:04

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also be interested in:

Modelling of local carbon deposition on a rough test limiter exposed to the edge plasma of TEXTOR Shuyu Dai, A Kirschner, D Matveev et al.

# Experimental study of the ${}^{13}C+{}^{12}C$ fusion reaction at deep sub-barrier energies

D. Tudor<sup>1</sup>, A.I. Chilug<sup>1</sup>, M. Straticiuc<sup>1</sup>, L. Trache<sup>1</sup>, D. Chesneanu<sup>1</sup>, S. Toma<sup>1</sup>, D. G. Ghita<sup>1</sup>, I. Burducea<sup>1</sup>, R. Margineanu<sup>1</sup>, A. Pantelica<sup>1</sup>, C. Gomoiu<sup>1</sup>, N.T. Zhang<sup>2</sup>, X. Tang<sup>3</sup>, Y.J. Li<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> IFIN-HH, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

<sup>2</sup> N.T. Zhang, X. Tang - Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou, China

<sup>3</sup> Y.J. Li - CIAE, Beijing, China

**Abstract.** Heavy-ion fusion reactions between light nuclei such as carbon and oxygen isotopes have been studied because of their significance for a wide variety of stellar burning scenarios. One important stellar reaction is <sup>12</sup>C+<sup>12</sup>C, but it is difficult to measure it in the Gamow window because of very low cross sections and several resonances occurring. Hints can be obtained from the study of  ${}^{13}C+{}^{12}C$  reaction. We have measured this process by an activation method for energies down to  $E_{cm}=2.5$  MeV using <sup>13</sup>C beams from the Bucharest 3 MV tandetron and gamma-ray deactivation measurements in our low and ultralow background laboratories, the latter located in a salt mine about 100 km north of Bucharest. Results obtained so far are shown and discussed in connection with the possibility to go even further down in energy and with the interpretation of the reaction mechanism at such deep sub-barrier energies.

#### 1. Introduction

One of the important questions in nuclear astrophysics is the carbon burning scenario. This process represents the third stage of stellar evolution of massive stars. Until now fusion reaction have only been measured at energies well above the region of astrophysical interest because of the extremely low cross section and signal/background ratio. In stellar environments the reaction rates are estimated by extrapolating measurements done at higher energies, extrapolations that imply a certain degree of uncertainty. For the  ${}^{12}C+{}^{12}C$  fusion reaction the situation is more complicated because of the resonances occuring below the Coulomb barrier. Therefore direct measurements at the Gamow window energies are essential, but very difficult to carry out due to the background from the cosmic rays, terrestrial environment etc.

We have established a program at IFIN-HH Bucharest-Magurele to test the possibility to make direct measurements for nuclear astrophysics using the new 3 MV tandetron accelerator [1] and an ultra-low background laboratory [2] situated in a salt mine at about 2.5 hours drive north of Bucharest. Therefore improvements can be made using irradiation de-activation sequences: we irradiate probes at the new 3 MV tandetron accelerator and move the probes for de-activation measurements in the ultra-low background laboratory in the salt mine. The preliminary results are presented here. The measurement we report in this paper has been performed in collaboration with the IMP Lanzhou.

## 2. <sup>13</sup>C+<sup>12</sup>C Experiment

The resonances from the  ${}^{12}C+{}^{12}C$  fusion reaction make it very difficult to measure, so to be able to test the predictive power of various models and establish a reliable upper limit for the cross section, we studied the  ${}^{13}C+{}^{12}C$  fusion cross section at deep sub-barrier energies. After initial tests of accelerator performances, we have proven that it has high and stable beam current in the range of tens of  $\mu$ A for negative ions ( ${}^{12}C, {}^{13}C, {}^{28}Si, {}^{197}Au$ ). The machine has a maximum voltage of 3.2 MV, and it can run as low as 200 kV. We concluded that we could be competitive to perform the study of reactions induced by alphas and light ions (0.2-1 MeV per nucleon).



Figure 1. 3 MV Tandetron Accelerator at IFIN-HH [4].

The reaction we chose  ${}^{13}\text{C}+{}^{12}\text{C}$  has the advantage that leads to an activation product with a half-life allowing for the transportation and efficient de-activation measurement. Therefore, our interest was focused on the proton evaporation channel  ${}^{12}\text{C}({}^{13}\text{C},\text{p}){}^{24}\text{Na}$ . The experiment had been performed in October 2014. The  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  beam at laboratory energy range of  $E_{lab} = 6.8 - 5.2$  MeV ( $E_{cm} = 3.2 - 2.5$  MeV), with steps of 0.2 MeV, impinged on 1 mm thick natural carbon targets. Intensities in the range of 2-8 p $\mu$ A were used in different runs. The irradiation chamber was electrically isolated, acting as a Faraday cup for current integration.

Thick target yield for the  ${}^{12}C({}^{13}C,p){}^{24}Na$  fusion reaction was determined through the measurement of the gamma-ray yield following the beta-decay of  ${}^{24}Na$  (T<sub>1/2</sub>=15 h) at the GammaSpec laboratory at the ground level (in IFIN-HH) and the ultra-low backround laboratory  $\mu$ Bq (in the salt mine at Slanic). At the  $\mu Bq$  the background decreased almost 4000 times (Fig. 2). In these laboratories the cascading gamma rays (1369 and 2754 keV) were detected with HPGe detectors with 30% relative efficiency (at GammaSpec) and 120% (at  $\mu Bq$  in the salt mine) [2]. Their calibration is well known.

The  ${}^{12}C({}^{13}C,p){}^{24}Na$  cross sections was calculated from the extracted thick target yield Y(E), using the following equation:

$$\sigma(E) = \frac{1}{N_{\nu}} \frac{dY(E)}{dE} \frac{dE}{dx},\tag{1}$$

where  $N_{\nu}$  is the number density of target nuclei present in the target and dE/dx is the stopping power.

As you can see (Fig. 3) we went down to the lowest energy ever reached  $E_{cm}=2.5$  MeV and have obtained a good agreement with the past experiments [3-5]. Proton-evaporation cross section were determined and statistical model calculations were used to evaluate the total reaction cross section.




Figure 2. Background from the salt mine  $(\mu Bq \text{ laboratory})[2].$ 




Figure 3. Preliminary cross section of  ${}^{12}C({}^{13}C,p){}^{24}Na$  from our experiment and results from the previous experiments.

### 3. Summary

We have made a number of irradiations at the 3 MV tandetron and measured the  ${}^{12}C({}^{13}C,p){}^{24}Na$  thick-target yield. The activation measurements were done at the low background laboratory GammaSpec and the ultra-low background laboratory  $\mu$ Bq in the Slanic-Prahova salt mine. We went down to the lowest energy ever reached for this reaction ( $E_{cm}=2.5$  MeV), where the cross section was of 4 nb. We have obtained a good agreement with the past experiments and for this year experiment (October 2015) we plan to go to even lower energies. For the ground level measurements (at IFIN-HH) we plan to perform beta-gamma coincidences to further clean the background.

### Acknowledgments

Part of this work was supported by the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research under the project Nuclear astrophysics studies at IFIN-HH, PNII-ID-PCE-2012-4-0299.

#### References

 I. Burducea, M. Straticiuc, D.G. Ghita, D.V. Mosu, C.I. Calinescu, N.C. Podaru, D.J.W. Mous, I. Ursu, N.V. Zamfir, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 359, 1219, 2015.

[2] R. Margineanu, C. Simion, S. Bercea, O.G. Duliu, D. Gheorghiu, A. Stochioiu, M. Matei, Applied Radiation and Isotopes 66, 1501-1506, 2008.

[3] R.A.Dayras, R.G. Stokstad, Z.E.Switkowski and R.M.Wieland, Nuclear Physics A 625, 152-188, 1976.

[4] X. Fang et al., Journal of Physics: Conference Series 420, 012151, 2013.

[5] M. Notani, X.D. Tang et al., Physcal Review C 85, 014607, 2012.